arXiv:1208.3298v1 [hep-ph] 16 Aug 2012

A generic constraint on non-minimal coupling

Abhishek Basak, Jitesh R. Bhatt

Physical Research Laboratory, Navarangpura, Ahmedabad, India

E-mail: abhishek@prl.res.in, jeet@prl.res.in

Abstract. We study the first order perturbations in a non-minimally coupled scalar field theory in the Jordan frame. It is shown by comparing the expression for the spectral index $n_{\mathcal{R}}$ with the observed value that the non-minimal coupling constant ξ can have an upper bound. The bound on ξ is generic as it is obtained without assuming any specific form of potential. Source of this bound is due to the presence of $\lambda_1 = \frac{\dot{f}}{Hf}$ term in the Friedman equation, where f signifies the non-minimal coupling term. From the Einstein's equation it is shown that the comoving curvature perturbation depends on parameter λ_1 and it would evolve on the super horizon scales unless the bound on ξ is imposed.

Contents

1 Introduction: 1

2 Slow roll parameters in Jordan Frame: 3

3 Perturbed equations: 4

4 Power spectrum and spectral index: 5

5 A bound on ξ: 6

1 Introduction:

Inflationary paradigm has become extremely useful in solving many problems with the standard big-bang theory and very successful in predicting the fluctuations in the observed cosmic microwave background radiation[1, 2]. However, the nature of the inflaton potential remains to be uncertain and as a consequence there has been a variety of models through which the inflationary paradigm can be implemented[3] . One of the important class of such models that has been extensively investigated in recent time is that of a non-minimally coupled scalar fields[4–9]. In most of the model of the inflation the mass of the scalar field is considered to be around 10^{13} GeV and the extremely small value for the strength of the quartic self-coupling $\lambda \sim 10^{-13}$[10]. This high value of the mass is considered to be an evidence for the physics beyond the standard model. In Refs.[11] it was shown that in case of chaotic inflation model instead of minimally coupled theory if non-minimal coupling is taken then amplitude of density perturbation will constrain the ratio $\frac{\lambda}{\xi^2}$ rather than λ Therefore in minimally coupled theory one can remove the tight constraint on the self coupling parameter λ by choosing a higher value of ξ. It is customary to choose the value of $\xi \sim 10^3$. However, an extremely interesting possibility of having a non-minimally coupled standard model Higgs field as an inflaton has been pointed out in Ref.[10]. In this model for a sufficiently large strength of the non-minimal coupling $1 \ll \sqrt{\xi} \lll 10^{17}$, it is possible to have $\lambda \approx 1$. Inert Higgs doublet has also been studied which gives the scale invariant density perturbation[12]. It is well known [13] that the non-minimally coupled theory(Jordan frame) can be transformed into a minimally coupled theory(Einstein frame) by a set of transformations of the metric and the field. It should be noted that some of these issues has been studied by several earlier authors[11, 14–18]. Further, we would like to say in Refs.[14–18] a 'gauge ready' approach was developed to study the first order perturbation theory. In this approach the variable under a gauge condition which removes the gauge mode completely can be considered as the gauge invariant one. It has been shown recently in Ref.[19] that all other gauges except Newtonian gauge is being tangled in the transformation between these two frames. It is preferred to calculate the physical quantities in the Einstein frame because in Einstein frame the calculations are simpler than Jordan frame. It is also a customary to study non-gaussianity using the results found in the minimal coupling theories, which is based on the fact that curvature perturbation ($\mathcal{R}$) defined in Einstein frame and Jordan frame are same in first order and second order ($\mathcal{R}_J^{(1)} = \mathcal{R}_E^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{R}_J^{(2)} = \mathcal{R}_E^{(2)}$) [20].

In the present work we are calculating first order comoving curvature perturbation and its scale dependence on super horizon scale in Jordan frame. In the ref.[21] it has been shown that in non-minimally coupled models of inflation in the 'new inflation' scenario to produce Harrison-Zel'dovich spectrum (spectral index $n_{\mathcal{R}} \sim 1$) there is a bound on the coupling constant ξ . It is also shown that for 'chaotic inflation' no such bound is required. Here we are showing that there will be a bound on ξ in Jordon frame when spectral index is compared with the observed value. This bound is generic and should be applicable to the models of inflation with non-minimal coupling where slow-roll approximation is necessary. We want to emphasize that this kind of bound comes from a term (λ_1 defined later) which only exist in the Jordon frame. In Einstein frame absence of this term may relax the tight constraint from ξ for some models as shown in ref.[21]. Further it is shown that unlike the Einstein frame [22], in the Jordan frame at large scale ($k \to 0$) limit the comoving curvature perturbation may evolve if we do not put this bound on ξ. It should be emphasized that in the previous calculations [14–16, 18] to derive the equation of motion for the curvature perturbation, the adiabatic equation of state was assumed. The assumption of adiabatic expansion is very true in the universe we observe, but adiabatic equation of state is not obvious in the non-minimally coupled theories [23]. In this work it will be shown that in a non-minimally coupled theory, an adiabatic equation of state is possible only when a tight constraint on the coupling ξ is applied. A very large value of ξ may lead to non adiabatic perturbation. Generation of non-adiabatic perturbation in Jordan frame was also noted in a different context [23]. Recently in Ref.[24] non-adiabatic evolution of curvature perturbation is observed in case of multi-field inflation with non-minimal coupling. It is to be pointed out that although $\mathcal{R}$ is invariant under the transformation between the two frames, the governing equations may not be invariant giving rise to a different expression of spectral index in the two frames. When the spectral index calculated in Jordan frame is compared with the WMAP results one can find the additional bound on ξ.

The action in Jordan frame is given by

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[f\left(\varphi\right)R - \frac{1}{2}\varphi_{;\mu}\varphi^{;\mu} - V\left(\varphi\right)\right], \tag{1.1}$$

in case of non-minimal coupling $f\left(\varphi\right) = 1 + \xi\varphi^2$, where φ is the scalar field, ξ is a constant, R is the Ricci scalar and $V(\varphi)$ is the potential. Here we have considered $M_{pl} = 1$. The field equation in Jordan frame is given as

$$\begin{aligned} f\left(\varphi\right)\ \left(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\varphi_{;\mu}\varphi_{;\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}\varphi_{;\alpha}\varphi^{;\alpha}\right) - \\ \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}V\left(\varphi\right) + f\left(\varphi\right)_{;\mu;\nu} - g_{\mu\nu}\Box f\left(\varphi\right) \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

Jordan frame action (1.1) can be transformed into the Einstein frame action

$$S = \int d^4x\sqrt{-\hat{g}}\left[\frac{1}{2}\hat{R} - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_{;\mu}\sigma^{;\mu} - \hat{V}\left(\sigma\right)\right], \tag{1.3}$$

by transforming the metric and the scalar field in the following way,

$$\hat{g}_{\mu\nu} = 2f\left(\varphi\right)g_{\mu\nu}, \quad \left(\frac{d\sigma}{d\varphi}\right)^2 \equiv \frac{1}{2f}\left(1 + 3\frac{f_{,\varphi}^2}{f}\right). \tag{1.4}$$

where $\hat{}$ represents the Einstein frame and $\hat{V}(\sigma) = \frac{V(\varphi)}{4f^2}$. Using 1.4 the field equation in Eq.(1.2) can be shown to be transformed into the Einstein equations. This is true for the perturbed field equations also. In Einstein frame the equation of motion for the comoving curvature perturbation $\hat{\mathcal{R}} = \hat{\psi} + \hat{\mathcal{H}}\frac{\delta\sigma}{\sigma'}$ can be written as

$$\hat{\mathcal{R}}'' + [\ln\hat{\gamma}]'\,\hat{\mathcal{R}}' + k^2\hat{\mathcal{R}} = 0 \tag{1.5}$$

where $\hat{\gamma} = \frac{\hat{a}^2\sigma'^2}{\hat{\mathcal{H}}^2}$, $\hat{\psi}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ are metric perturbations and Hubble parameter in Einstein frame. In Einstein frame spectral index $\hat{n}_{\mathcal{R}}$ can be expressed in terms of slow roll parameters as

$$\hat{n}_{\mathcal{R}} - 1 = -4\hat{\epsilon} - 2\hat{\delta}. \tag{1.6}$$

where $\hat{\epsilon} = \frac{3}{2}\frac{\hat{\sigma}'^2}{\hat{a}^2\hat{V}}$ and $\hat{\delta} = 1 - \frac{\hat{\sigma}''}{\hat{\mathcal{H}}\hat{\sigma}'}$ are the slow roll parameters in the Einstein frame.

2 Slow roll parameters in Jordan Frame:

Before we calculate the equation of motion of $\mathcal{R}$ we define the slow-roll parameters in the Jordan frame. Equation for the scalar field φ in Jordan frame is given by

$$\varphi'' + 2\mathcal{H}\varphi' + a^2 f_\varphi R - a^2 V_\varphi = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

where $R = -\frac{6}{a^2}\left(\mathcal{H}' + \mathcal{H}^2\right)$. Here $\prime$ denotes the derivative with respect to conformal time η, this is related to natural time as $d\eta = \frac{dt}{a}$. $\mathcal{H}$ is the Hubble parameter in conformal time defined as $\mathcal{H} = \frac{a'}{a}$ and a is the scale factor. Friedman equations in Jordan frame gives us the following relations:

$$\mathcal{H}^2\left(1 + \lambda_1\right) = \frac{1}{6f}\left(\frac{\varphi'^2}{2} + a^2 V\right), \tag{2.2}$$

$$\mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}' = \frac{\varphi'^2}{4f} + \frac{f''}{2f} - \mathcal{H}\frac{f'}{f}. \tag{2.3}$$

In the case of the standard inflation we may say that the inflaton is slowly rolling down the potential, therefore the assumptions of slow roll are

$$\epsilon = \frac{3}{2}\frac{\varphi'^2}{a^2 V} \ll 1, \qquad \delta = 1 - \frac{\varphi''}{\mathcal{H}\varphi'} \ll 1. \tag{2.4}$$

In case of inflation driven by the scalar field minimally coupled to gravity $-\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} = 1 - \frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^2} = \epsilon$, therefore $\epsilon \ll 1$ implies the smallness of $\dot{H}$ compared to H^2, i.e, H remains almost constant during inflation. But for the inflationary scenario in the Jordan frame smallness of ϵ alone does not ensure that H will remain constant during inflation. Finally using Eq. (2.2) we can write Eq. (2.3) as:

$$\left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^2}\right) = \epsilon\left(1 + \lambda_1\right) + \frac{\alpha\lambda_1}{2} - \lambda_1. \tag{2.5}$$

Here we have defined $\lambda_1 \equiv \frac{f'}{f\mathcal{H}}$ and $\alpha \equiv \frac{f''}{\mathcal{H}f'}$. At this point if we consider $\epsilon \ll 1$, $\alpha \ll 1$ and $\lambda_1 \ll 1$ and neglect the second and third term on the right hand side of the above expression, still we have

$$-\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} = \left(1 - \frac{\mathcal{H}'}{\mathcal{H}^2}\right) \simeq \epsilon - \lambda_1. \tag{2.6}$$

So, we can see that smallness of λ_1 and α are required to drive inflation. Therefore the end of inflation is not determined by ϵ only. Inflation ends when $\epsilon - \lambda_1 \sim 1$.

3 Perturbed equations:

In this work we are writing the total metric (background and perturbed) in Newnotian gauge as,

$$g_{\mu\nu} = g^{(0)}_{\mu\nu} + \delta g_{\mu\nu} = a^2 \begin{pmatrix} (1+2\phi) & \mathbb{O} \\ \mathbb{O} & \{(-1+2\psi)\,\delta_{ij}\} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{3.1}$$

It is useful to note that metric perturbations, and $\mathcal{H}$ follow the transformations

$$\hat{\psi} = \psi - \frac{f_1}{2f}, \qquad \hat{\phi} = \phi + \frac{f_1}{2f}, \qquad \hat{\mathcal{H}} = \mathcal{H} + \frac{f'}{2f}, \tag{3.2}$$

when we go to Einstein frame from Jordan frame, where $f_1 = \delta f = f_\varphi \delta\varphi$. Perturbing the Eq.(1.2) we get the following equations. Time-space component of field equation is:

$$\psi' + \mathcal{H}\phi = \frac{\varphi'^2}{4\mathcal{H} f}\left(\mathcal{H}\frac{\delta\varphi}{\varphi'}\right) - \frac{\mathcal{H} f_1}{2f} - \phi\frac{f'}{2f} + \frac{f_1'}{2f}. \tag{3.3}$$

Off diagonal space-space component is,

$$\psi - \phi = \frac{f_1}{f}. \tag{3.4}$$

Time-time component of the field equation is,

$$\begin{aligned}(3\mathcal{H}^2)\, f_1 \quad + 2\left[\Delta\psi - 3\mathcal{H}\left(\psi' + \mathcal{H}\phi\right)\right] f = \frac{1}{2}\varphi'\delta\varphi' + \Delta\left(f_1\right) - \\ 3\mathcal{H} f_1' + 3\psi' f' - \frac{1}{2}a^2 V_\varphi \delta\varphi + 6\mathcal{H}\phi f' - \frac{1}{2}\phi\varphi'^2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

The above equations are written in Jordan frame. Using using the transformations defined by equation (1.4) along with (3.2), equations (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5) transform into the corresponding equations in the Einstein frame considered in the literature, for example Ref.[22]. Next, we write down some useful relations which will be used frequently in later discussion. From the definition of f_1 given above in terms of λ_1 we can write,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{f_1}{f} &= \lambda_1\left(\mathcal{H}\frac{\delta\varphi}{\varphi'}\right) \\ \frac{f_1'}{f} &\simeq \mathcal{H}\lambda_1\left(\frac{\gamma}{\mathcal{H}} - 1\right)\mathcal{H}\frac{\delta\varphi}{\varphi'} + \lambda_1\left(\mathcal{H}\frac{\delta\varphi}{\varphi'}\right)',\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where we define $\gamma \equiv \frac{\varphi'^2}{4f\mathcal{H}}$. Note that γ is not a slow roll parameter. Here we have dropped the terms proportional to second order in slow roll parameters λ_1 and α. Similarly (2.3) can be written as

$$\mathcal{H}^2 - \mathcal{H}' \simeq \mathcal{H}\gamma - \mathcal{H}^2\lambda_1. \tag{3.7}$$

At this point let us define two variables Y and $\mathcal{R}$ as following

$$Y = \frac{a^2}{\mathcal{H}}\psi, \qquad \mathcal{R} = \psi + \mathcal{H}\frac{\delta\varphi}{\varphi'}. \tag{3.8}$$

It should be noted that $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ are invariant under the transformations 1.4. Substituting the expression of ϕ in (3.4), in linear order of λ_1 we can write (3.3) in terms of Y and $\mathcal{R}$ as,

$$Y' \simeq \frac{a^2\gamma}{\mathcal{H}}\mathcal{R} - \frac{a^2\lambda_1}{2}\left(2\frac{\mathcal{H}}{a^2}Y - \frac{\mathcal{R}'}{\mathcal{H}}\right), \tag{3.9}$$

where we have used (3.6) and (3.7).

Using the background equation of motion of φ we can eliminate V_φ from (3.5) and finally using (3.4), (3.6) and (3.7) we can write (3.5) as

$$\Delta\left(\frac{\mathcal{H}}{a^2}Y\right) \simeq \gamma\left(1-\lambda_1\right)\mathcal{R}' - \frac{\lambda_1\gamma}{2}\left(\gamma\mathcal{R} - \frac{\mathcal{H}}{a^2}Y'\right) + \frac{\lambda_1}{2}\left(\Delta\mathcal{R}\right). \tag{3.10}$$

Using the above equations one can calculate the power spectrum in the usual way. Equations (3.9) and (3.10) are coupled equations. Decoupling these two equations would lead to the follwing equation for $\mathcal{R}$:

$$\mathcal{R}'' + \left[\left\{\ln\left(\frac{a^2}{\mathcal{H}}\gamma\left(1-\lambda_1\right)\right)\right\}' + \mathcal{H}\lambda_1\right]\mathcal{R}' + \\ k^2\left[1+\lambda_1 - \left(\frac{\lambda_1 a^2}{2\mathcal{H}}\right)'\frac{\mathcal{H}}{a^2\gamma}\right]\mathcal{R} = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

As $'$, k^2, $\mathcal{R}$ remains invariant under the transformation of the frames, we can see that Eq.(1.5) and Eq.(3.11) does not transform to each other when we consider the transformations listed above. One can identify the coefficient of k^2 as the square of sound speed of perturbation namely C_s^2,

$$C_s^2 \simeq 1 + \lambda_1 - \left(\frac{\lambda_1 a^2}{2\mathcal{H}}\right)'\frac{\mathcal{H}}{a^2\gamma}. \tag{3.12}$$

It is possible to write the last term in the above expression in terms of slow roll parameters and one can write the expression for C_s^2 as

$$C_s^2 = 1 + \frac{\lambda_1}{2} - \frac{\lambda_1}{2\epsilon}. \tag{3.13}$$

4 Power spectrum and spectral index:

To calculate the power spectrum and spectral index we follow the standard procedure given by Mukhanov[22]. We first substitute $\mathcal{R} = m.v$ into Eq.(3.11), where m is a function of η only, i.e., $m = m\left(\eta\right)$ and $v = v\left(\eta, \vec{k}\right)$. Removing the v' term by setting the coefficient of v' to be zero, which yields $\frac{m'}{m} = -\frac{A}{2}$, we get the equation of v

$$v'' + \left[C_s^2 k^2 - \frac{A'}{2} - \frac{A^2}{4}\right]v = 0. \tag{4.1}$$

Here $A = \left[\frac{\left\{\frac{a^2}{\mathcal{H}}\gamma(1-\lambda_1)\right\}'}{\left\{\frac{a^2}{\mathcal{H}}\gamma(1-\lambda_1)\right\}} + \mathcal{H}\lambda_1\right]$. Now this equation can be written as Bessel differential equation and can be solved exactly in terms of Hankel functions once we write A' and A^2 as

$\frac{1}{\eta^2}$. A and A' can be expressed in terms of slow roll parameters as

$$\begin{aligned} A &= 2aH\left(1+\epsilon-\lambda_1+\delta\right), \\ A' &= 2a^2H^2\left(1-\epsilon+\lambda_1\right)\left(1+\epsilon-\lambda_1+\delta\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

Here we have used (2.6). From (2.6) we can also notice that in this present case we can write $aH \simeq -\frac{1}{\eta}\frac{1}{1-\epsilon+\lambda_1}$. Using this expression we can write $\frac{A'}{2}+\frac{A^2}{4}=\frac{1}{\eta^2}\left(\nu^2-\frac{1}{4}\right)$, where $\nu=\frac{1+\epsilon-\lambda_1+\delta}{1-\epsilon+\lambda_1}+\frac{1}{2}\simeq\left(\frac{3}{2}+2\epsilon-2\lambda_1+\delta\right)$. Therefore we finally write Eq.(4.1) as

$$v''+\left[C_s^2k^2-\frac{1}{\eta^2}\left(\nu^2-\frac{1}{4}\right)\right]v=0. \tag{4.3}$$

Considering the fact that C_s is a constant we can express the solution of this equation in terms of the Hankel functions,

$$v_k=(-\eta)^{1/2}\left[A_1H_\nu^{(1)}\left(-C_sk\eta\right)+A_2H_\nu^{(2)}\left(-C_sk\eta\right)\right] \tag{4.4}$$

Matching this solution of v with the free quantum field for $\frac{C_sk}{aH}\gg 1$(short wave length limit), we choose

$$A_1=\frac{\sqrt{\pi}}{2}exp\left[i\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\nu+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right], \qquad A_2=0. \tag{4.5}$$

For long wavelength ($\frac{C_sk}{aH}\ll 1$) we find that v_k behaves as

$$v_k\propto k^{-\nu}. \tag{4.6}$$

Next writing this solutions in terms of $\mathcal{R}$ we get the power spectrum as

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}\propto k^{3-2\nu}. \tag{4.7}$$

Spectral index is defined as

$$n_{\mathcal{R}}-1=\frac{d\ln\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}}{d\ln k}. \tag{4.8}$$

Therefore we get the expression of spectral index as

$$n_{\mathcal{R}}-1=3-2\nu. \tag{4.9}$$

Substituting the expression of ν in the above equation we get the spectral index as

$$n_{\mathcal{R}}=1-4\epsilon+4\lambda_1-2\delta. \tag{4.10}$$

In this expression we get an additional term $4\lambda_1$ along with the standard terms we get from the minimal coupling case.

5 A bound on ξ:

In the reference [23] it was shown in Jordan frame the effective fluid described by non-minimally coupled scalar field is imperfect. Further, it was shown that in Einstein frame the background effective fluid may be perfect whereas the perturbed fluid is again imperfect. This imperfection is due to the non-minimal coupling term $f(\varphi)$. The imperfect nature of the fluid can be understood using the Einstein's equation. In Ref. [22] it was shown that in a minimally coupled theory the comoving curvature perturbation (adiabatic) freezes at large scale ($k \to 0$). But in general in non-minimally coupled theory in $k \to 0$ limit the comoving curvature perturbation may evolve. In fact substituting Y' from the equation (3.9) in (3.10) one can write in $k^2 \to 0$ limit:

$$\mathcal{R}' \simeq \lambda_1^2 \frac{\mathcal{H}^2}{a^2} Y. \tag{5.1}$$

Now from the above expression of $\mathcal{R}'$ one can see that for the curvature perturbation to stop evolving at super horizon scale, λ_1 has to be $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ or less.

Next, consider the expression for $n_{\mathcal{R}} = 1 - 4\epsilon + 4\lambda_1 - 2\delta$ in the Jordan frame. WMAP 7 years data suggests that $n_{\mathcal{R}} = 0.968 \pm 0.012$ [25]. To have Harrison-Zel'dovich kind of spectrum it may not be necessary to express $n_{\mathcal{R}}$ entirely in terms of ξ as given in [21]. Instead we continue to express $n_{\mathcal{R}}$ in terms of ϵ, λ_1 and δ. It is important to have $\epsilon \ll 1$ or $\dot{\varphi}^2 \ll V$ as an independent condition to get the negative pressure for accelerated expansion. Moreover we have to make sure that $\lambda_1 < \epsilon$, otherwise $n_{\mathcal{R}} > 1$ and also $\dot{H} > 0$ (see equation (2.6)). Therefore $\lambda_1 < \epsilon (\sim 10^{-2})$ may be sufficient condition to produce the observed value of spectral index. Using the definitions of f and ϵ we can write $\lambda_1 \simeq \frac{4\xi\varphi}{\sqrt{1+\xi\varphi^2}}\sqrt{\epsilon}$. The condition $\lambda_1 < \epsilon$ yields:

$$\xi < \left(\frac{\epsilon}{16}\right) \frac{1+\xi\varphi^2}{\xi\varphi^2}. \tag{5.2}$$

The possible regimes for $\xi\varphi^2$ are: (i) $\xi\varphi^2 \gg 1$, (ii) $\xi\varphi^2 \sim 1$ and (iii) $\xi\varphi^2 \ll 1$. For cases (i) and (ii) the condition (5.2) gives $\xi < \frac{\epsilon}{16}$ and $\xi < \frac{\epsilon}{18}$ respectively and the case (iii) may not be an interesting one as that may lead us to a minimal coupling theory. From the first two cases one can understand that in Jordan frame the value of ξ can not be arbitrarily large. During inflation $\xi < 10^{-3}$ and towards the end $\xi < 10^{-1}$. From the above discussion it ca be understood that in the $\xi\varphi^2 \gg 1$ region $\lambda_1 \simeq 4\sqrt{\xi}\sqrt{\epsilon}$.

The upper bound on ξ can be shown to restrict the super-horizon scale perturbations from evolving. Equation (5.1) one can write in $k^2 \to 0$ limit:

$$\mathcal{R}' \simeq \lambda_1^2 \frac{\mathcal{H}^2}{a^2} Y = 16\xi\epsilon \frac{\xi\varphi^2}{1+\xi\varphi^2} \frac{\mathcal{H}^2}{a^2} Y. \tag{5.3}$$

In the $\xi\varphi^2 \gg 1$ region one can get $\mathcal{R}' \simeq 16\xi\epsilon\frac{\mathcal{H}^2}{a^2}Y$, which can evolve on the super-horizon scale if ξ does not have a upper bound. For $\xi < \frac{\epsilon}{16}$ the right hand side of $\mathcal{R}'$ is $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$ so that on the super-horizon scale $\mathcal{R}' \sim 0$. In Ref. [18] it has been pointed out that in Jordan frame for $\lambda\varphi^4$ kind of potential the ratio between the self coupling λ and ξ^2 is very small, $\frac{\lambda}{\xi^2} \sim 10^{-7}$. For this case $\xi \sim 10^3$ is needed only to accommodate a large self coupling λ (~ 1 for Higgs field). But as we have shown here such large value of ξ is not possible in Jordan frame. In Jordan frame the value of $\lambda \sim 10^{-10}$ is consistent with the bound $\xi < 10^{-3}$.

In the present work the upper bound on ξ is a generic one as it is obtained without assuming any specific form of potential and initial condition. We would like to emphasize that the present bound on ξ is necessary on two counts: (i) to match the expression of n_s with observation and (ii) to stop the super horizon mode of $\mathcal{R}$ from evolving. It should be noted that in Ref.[21] also an upper bound on $\xi < 10^{-3}$ was obtained for a 'new inflation' scenario . However it was argued that 'chaotic inflation' models may not have such bound on ξ [21].

Further one may ask the question whether the bound on ξ depends on the formalism used. In other words one can ask the question if the upper bound on ξ can also be obtained using the "gauge-invariant" formalism presented in Refs. [16, 17]? In what follows we address this question: The expression of spectral index in those references is given by

$$n_s = 1 - 4\epsilon_H - 2\delta + 2\beta - 2\gamma, \tag{5.4}$$

where $\epsilon_H = -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}$, $\delta = \frac{\ddot{\varphi}}{H\dot{\varphi}}$, $\gamma = \frac{\dot{E}}{2HE}$, $\beta = \frac{\dot{f}}{2Hf}$ and $E = \left(f + \frac{3}{2}f_\varphi^2\right)$. Using the expression of $\dot{H}$ and H^2 given in [16] one can write $\epsilon_{HN} = \frac{\dot{\varphi}^2}{2fH^2} + \frac{\ddot{f}}{2fH^2} - \frac{\dot{f}}{2fH}$. The second term is a second order term and can be ignored. Next, one can write $\epsilon_{HN} \simeq \bar{\epsilon} - \beta$ where $\bar{\epsilon} = \frac{\dot{\varphi}^2}{2fH^2}$. So, (5.4) can be written as $n_s = 1 - 4\bar{\epsilon} - 2\delta + 6\beta - 2\gamma$. Next using the definition of E one can write

$$\gamma = \beta \frac{(1 + 3f_{\varphi\varphi})\, f}{f + \frac{3}{2}f_\varphi^2}. \tag{5.5}$$

Therefore one can see that γ and β are not independent parameters. Using the definition of f it can be found that in the region we are interested in $\xi\varphi^2 \gg 1$ [10], $\gamma = \beta$. Therefore we find $n_s = 1 - 4\bar{\epsilon} - 2\delta + 4\beta$. The parameter β is similar to the parameter λ_1 we have used in this manuscript. Therefore we get the similar kind of bound from earlier results also.

In Einstein frame if one works with the field σ as defined in (1.4) then the non-minimal coupling term $f(\varphi)$ won't be present. Instead of working with σ if one works with the variable ϕ in the Einstein frame then the term similar to 'λ_1' also appears in the expressions of energy density ($\hat{\rho}$), pressure ($\hat{p}$), spectral index ($n_{\mathcal{R}}$) etc. Using the relation of σ and φ in (1.4) the energy density and pressure in Einstein frame can be written respectively as:

$$\hat{\rho} = \frac{1}{4}\frac{\hat{\dot{\varphi}}^2}{f} + \frac{3}{4}\frac{\hat{\dot{\varphi}}^2 f_\varphi^2}{f^2} + \frac{V(\varphi)}{4f^2}, \qquad \hat{p} = \frac{1}{4}\frac{\hat{\dot{\varphi}}^2}{f} + \frac{3}{4}\frac{\hat{\dot{\varphi}}^2 f_\varphi^2}{f^2} - \frac{V(\varphi)}{4f^2}. \tag{5.6}$$

From the above equation one can see that the middle term in the expressions of $\hat{\rho}$ and $\hat{p}$ can be written as $\hat{H}^2\hat{\lambda}_1^2$, where $\hat{\lambda}_1 = \frac{\hat{\dot{f}}}{\hat{H}f}$. In Einstein frame one can write:

$$-\frac{\hat{\dot{H}}}{\hat{H}^2} = \hat{\epsilon} \simeq \frac{3}{2}\frac{\hat{\dot{\sigma}}^2}{\hat{V}} = 3\frac{\hat{\dot{\varphi}}^2}{V} f \left(1 + 3\frac{f_{,\varphi}^2}{f}\right). \tag{5.7}$$

In the region $\xi\varphi^2 \gg 1$ equation (5.7) can be written in terms of potential (V) as:

$$\hat{\epsilon} = S\,(1 + 6\xi)\,, \tag{5.8}$$

where $S = 3\frac{\hat{\dot{\varphi}}^2}{V} f = \frac{\dot{\varphi}^2}{4f\hat{H}^2}$. Here the Friedman equation in Einstein frame $\left(\hat{H}^2 \simeq \frac{\hat{V}}{3} = \frac{1}{12}\frac{V}{f^2}\right)$ is used. Like Jordan frame one can identify the last term as $\hat{\lambda}_1^2 = S\xi$. One can compare the difference between the term $(-\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2})$ in Jordan frame (2.6) and Einstein frame :

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2} &= \epsilon - \lambda_1 \\ -\frac{\hat{\dot{H}}}{\hat{H}^2} &= S + \frac{3}{4}\hat{\lambda}_1^2. \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

One can note the difference in the sign associated with the last terms. Unlike the Jordan frame because of the positive sign associated with $\hat{\lambda}_1$ one can take a large value of ξ in the Einstein frame as discussed below. Now from the expression of the spectral index in Einstein frame (1.6) the condition on the slow-roll parameter $\hat{\epsilon} \sim 10^{-2}$ tells us:

$$S(1+6\xi) \sim 10^{-2}. \tag{5.10}$$

This condition is similar to the condition given in [21]. Although $S \sim 10^{-2}$ is a 'necessary' condition in Einstein frame to have the spectral index of the order of the observed value, still one has to restrict the last term in equation (5.10):

$$6\xi S \leq 10^{-2}. \tag{5.11}$$

With the value of $S \sim 10^{-2}$ it can be understood that the value of ξ can be at most $\sim \frac{1}{6}$. However in contrast to the Jordan frame in the Einstein frame (see equation (2.6)) one can take choose $\xi \gg 1$ by lowering down the value of S by several orders of magnitude from 10^{-2}. For example: to have $\xi \sim 10^4$ one has to choose that value of $S \sim 10^{-7}$. This is one of major differences between when the bound on ξ is concerned. One can not talk about a large value of ξ in Jordan frame by lowering the value of ϵ as this will make $\frac{\dot{H}}{H^2}$ positive and spectral index $n_s > 1$. In Einstein frame this problem is not present and ξ can be large in this frame. But considering a large value of ξ can lead to another fine tuning associated with S.

In conclusion, we have done an explicit gauge invariant calculation for the first order perturbation in the Jordan frame. It is shown that in contrast to the Einstein frame, the comoving curvature perturbation does not freeze at large scale if do not put a bound on ξ in Jordan frame. Arbitrarily large value of ξ could give rise to non-adiabtic perturbation. One can constrain values of ξ in the Jordan frame by confronting the spectral index with the observation. This bound on ξ is a generic bound and should be applicable to any slow-roll model of inflation with non-minimal coupling. Similar constraint on ξ is also emerging from the calculation of the spectral index done using the "gauge-ready" formalism developed in Ref.[16, 17]. However, in the Einstein frame one may choose a large value of ξ (say 10^4) by choosing a very small value of the ratio between the kinetic energy and potential (S). The upper bound on $\xi \leq 10^{-3}$ (in Jordan frame) can be translated into lowering down value of the self-coupling constant $\lambda (\sim 10^{-10})$ from the unity for the inflationary model based on the non-minimally coupled Higgs field. This may not be good from the particle physics point of view. Finally we would like to emphasize that the first order perturbation theory applied here is in agreement with the work done by the earlier workers. In Jordan frame it may not be possible to remove the fine tuning problem associated with the self coupling parameter λ choosing a large value of non-minimal coupling parameter ξ.

References

[1] A. H. Guth, *The Inflationary Universe: A Possible Solution to the Horizon and Flatness Problems*, *Phys. Rev.* **D23** (1981) 347–356.

[2] A. Riotto, *Inflation and the theory of cosmological perturbations*, `hep-ph/0210162`.

[3] A. D. Linde, *Inflationary Cosmology*, *Lect.Notes Phys.* **738** (2008) 1–54, [`arXiv:0705.0164`].

[4] T. Futamase and K.-i. Maeda, *Chaotic inflationary scenario of the universe with a nonminimally coupled "inflaton" field*, *Phys. Rev.* **D39** (Jan, 1989) 399–404.

[5] E. Komatsu and T. Futamase, *Complete constraints on a nonminimally coupled chaotic inflationary scenario from the cosmic microwave background*, *Phys.Rev.* **D59** (1999) 064029, [astro-ph/9901127].

[6] N. Sakai and J. Yokoyama, *Topological inflation induced by a nonminimally coupled massive scalar field*, *Phys.Lett.* **B456** (1999) 113–117, [hep-ph/9901336].

[7] V. Faraoni, *Non-minimal coupling of the scalar field and inflation*, *Phys. Rev.* **D53** (1996) 6813–6821, [astro-ph/9602111].

[8] N. Makino and M. Sasaki, *The density perturbation in the chaotic inflation with non-minimal coupling*, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **86** (1991) 103.

[9] E. Komatsu and T. Futamase, *Constraints on the chaotic inflationary scenario with a nonminimally coupled "inflaton" field from the cosmic microwave background radiation anisotropy*, *Phys. Rev. D* **58** (Jun, 1998) 023004.

[10] F. L. Bezrukov and M. Shaposhnikov, *The Standard Model Higgs boson as the inflaton*, *Phys. Lett.* **B659** (2008) 703–706, [arXiv:0710.3755].

[11] R. Fakir and W. G. Unruh, *Improvement on cosmological chaotic inflation through nonminimal coupling*, *Phys. Rev.* **D41** (1990) 1783–1791.

[12] M. Das and S. Mohanty, *Generation of scale invariant density perturbations in a conformally invariant Inert Higgs doublet model*, arXiv:1111.0799.

[13] R. H. Dicke, *Mach's principle and invariance under transformation of units*, *Phys. Rev.* **125** (Mar, 1962) 2163–2167.

[14] J. chan Hwang, *Cosmological perturbations in generalised gravity theories: formulation*, *Class. Quantum Grav.* **7** (1990) 1613–1631.

[15] J. chan Hwang, *Cosmological perturbations in generalized gravity theories: Solutions*, *Phys. Rev.* **D42** (1990) 2601.

[16] J.-c. Hwang and H. Noh, *Cosmological perturbations in generalized gravity theories*, *Phys. Rev. D* **54** (Jul, 1996) 1460–1473.

[17] D. I. Kaiser, *Frame independent calculation of spectral indices from inflation*, astro-ph/9507048.

[18] J.-c. Hwang and H. Noh, *Cobe differential microwave radiometer constraints on an inflation model with nonminimal scalar field*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81** (Dec, 1998) 5274–5277.

[19] I. A. Brown and A. Hammami, *Gauge Issues in Extended Gravity and f(R) Cosmology*, arXiv:1112.0575.

[20] N. Sugiyama and T. Futamase, *Non-gaussianity generated in the inflationary scenario with nonminimally coupled inflaton field*, *Phys. Rev.* **D81** (Jan, 2010) 023504.

[21] D. I. Kaiser, *Primordial spectral indices from generalized Einstein theories*, *Phys.Rev.* **D52** (1995) 4295–4306, [astro-ph/9408044].

[22] V. Mukhanov, *Physical Foundations of Cosmology*. Cambridge University Press, 2005.

[23] S. Carloni, P. K. Dunsby, and C. Rubano, *Gauge invariant perturbations of Scalar-Tensor Cosmologies: The Vacuum case*, *Phys.Rev.* **D74** (2006) 123513, [gr-qc/0611113].

[24] J. White, M. Minamitsuji, and M. Sasaki, *Curvature perturbation in multi-field inflation with non-minimal coupling*, arXiv:1205.0656.

[25] **WMAP** Collaboration, E. Komatsu *et. al.*, *Seven-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Cosmological Interpretation*, *Astrophys.J.Suppl.* **192** (2011) 18, [arXiv:1001.4538].